

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/598,386	LEE, JAE GWANG	

All Participants:

(1) LESLIE WONG.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Jiri Smetana (52,456).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 January 2101

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic

Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Port and Morton

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Leslie Wong/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2164

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On Jan. 28, 2010, Examiner contacted Applicant's Representative to suggest incorporating limitations of claim 2 into Independent claim 1 and claim 14 into independent claim 13 to advance prosecution of the instant application.

On Jan. 29, 2010, Examiner pointed out that the background Figs. 1 and 2 and references Porter US 20040139116 A1 and Morton US 20090125534 A1 may be used to reject claims 1 and 13 as filed.

On Feb. 4, 2010, Applicant Representative indicated that the Applicant has accepted Examiner's suggestions and would file amendments to the claim as suggested.